

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3466		
10/766,276	01/27/2004	Mahesh Siddappa	2906P			
75	90 08/09/2006	EXAMINER				
SAWYER LA P.O. Box 51418	W GROUP LLP	PHAN, RAYMOND NGAN				
Palo Alto, CA	94303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2111			
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/766,276		SIDDAPPA, MAHESH				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Raymond P		2111				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Insions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply preceived by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. statutory period will v will, by statute, o	TE OF THI 6(a). In no even If apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>09 Ma</i>	v 2006.						
·	•	2b)⊠ This a	_	n-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
- ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-15 and 17-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-8,12-15 and 19</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂									
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the d	lrawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	to by the Exa	aminer. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the International Cee the attached detailed Office actions.	documents documents of the priori	have been have been ty documer (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati its have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		;	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/766,276 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on May 9, 2006.

2. This application has been examined. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-15, 17-20 are pending.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 5-8, 12-15, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiang et al. (US No. 6,874,055) in view of Hewitt (US No. 6,862,647).

In regard to claim 1, Chiang et al. disclose a method for high speed USB data routing (see figure 2), the method comprising: routing a data stream to and from USB I/O ports serially (see figure 2, col. 4, lines 1-26); and maintaining a frequency of the data stream during the routing (see col. 4, lines 27-51). But Chiang et al. do not specifically disclose the routing from a root port downstream to at least one I/O port and from one I/O port upstream to the root port. However Hewitt discloses serially-connected I/O controllers (i.e. I/O router) which routing

Application/Control Number: 10/766,276

Art Unit: 2111

the data from the root port 10A (i.e. host port) downstream to at least one of the I/O node 20, 30 and from one I/O node upstream to the root port (see figure 1, col. 5, lines 23-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Hewitt into the teachings of Chiang et al. because it would improved the bus bandwidth.

In regard to claims 5, 12, Chiang et al. disclose the step of performing the routing in a USB hub (see col. 6, lines 15-25).

In regard to claims 6, 13, Chiang et al. disclose the step of performing the routing with up to seven I/O ports (see col. 3, lines 66-67).

In regard to claims 7, 14, 19, Chiang et al. disclose wherein maintaining the frequency of the data stream during the routing further comprises maintaining the frequency at 480 MHz (i.e. high speed) (see col. 1, lines 51-53).

In regard to claim 8, Chiang et al. disclose a system for high speed USB data routing, the system comprising: a plurality of USB I/O ports 221, 241 (see figure 2, col. 4, lines 1-26); and a plurality of routers 225, 245 coupled to the plurality of USB I/O ports for routing a data stream to and from the USB I/O ports serially (see col. 4, lines 1-26) and maintaining a frequency of the data stream during the routing (see col. 4, lines 27-51).

In regard to claim 15, Chiang et al. disclose a method for high speed USB data routing, the method comprising: providing a root port router 29 for a root port (i.e. host port) of a USB hub (see figure 2, col. 4, lines 1-26); providing a data port router 225 for each I/O port 221 of the USB hub (see figure 2, col. 4, lines 1-26); and routing data of a data stream serially between the root port router 29 and each

Application/Control Number: 10/766,276 Page 4

Art Unit: 2111

data port router 225 without altering a frequency of the data stream (see figure 2, col. 4, lines 27-51).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2-3, 9-10, 17-18, 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 2-3, 9-10, 17-18, 20 are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner found neither prior art cited in its entirety, nor based on the prior art, found any motivation to combine any of the said prior arts which teach wherein each data port router delays the data stream by one bit during the routing (claims 2, 9); routing on a two-bit wire, the two-bit wire carrying a data bit and a corresponding enable bit for each bit of the data stream (claims 3, 10, 18); a data control block for the data port router and each I/O port to control enabling of each I/O port during the routing (claim 20).

Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's amendment and arguments, see pages 3-8, filed on May 9, 2006, with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 5-8, 12-15, 19 under 35USC102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hewitt.

Conclusion

9. Claims 1, 5-8, 12-15, 19 are rejected. Claims 2-3, 9-10, 17-18, 20. Claims 4, 11, 16 are canceled.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,276 Page 5

Art Unit: 2111

10. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Larky et al. (US No. 6,092,210) disclose a device and method for synchronizing the clocks of interconnected USB buses.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Raymond Phan, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3630. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30AM- 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Primary, Paul Myers can be reached on (571) 272-3639 or via e-mail addressed to paul.myers@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [raymond.phan@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see hop://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 central telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Raymond Phan August 2, 2006

Taymofth